

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,833	04/16/2004	Vadim Draluk	CS24752RL	1719
20280 MOTOROLA	7590 11/28/2007 INC		EXAM	INER
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45 W4 - 39O			LE, UYEN T	
•	LE, IL 60048-5343		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2163	
		,		•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING.LIBERTYVILLE@MOTOROLA.COM ADB035@Motorola.com

		mN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/826,833	DRALUK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Uyen T. Le	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. heriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-17 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : :	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		24404 2412 422
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for attached detailed of attached detailed of attached detailed	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	,, □ .	2
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/826,833 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed 14 September 2007 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action.

Note also that claims 1 and 7 now include the limitations of original claims 3 and 9 respectively, all rejected under 35 USC 102 using Pedersen and applicant did not present any specific arguments regarding this rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 5 "source" should be –resource--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the OP parameter is set or the DATA parameter is reset when those parameters are not included in the device management characteristics.

Art rejection is applied to claims 5, 6, 11, 12 as best understood in light of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/826,833

Art Unit: 2163

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (e) as being anticipated by Pedersen et al (US 2004/0158619) of record.

Regarding claim 1, Pedersen discloses all the claimed subject matter including "receiving a client provisioning document from a source" (see the abstract, Figures 1-4), "identifying a device management characteristic from the client provisioning document" (see 0112 identifying URI parameter), "storing data based on the device management characteristic of the client provisioning document to a device management tree" (see 0073, 0114, 0117). Furthermore, Pedersen discloses identifying a URI parameter (see 0112).

Regarding claim 7, Pedersen discloses "a client device of a communication system including a provisioning and management framework configured to receive a client provisioning document, the client provisioning document includes a device management characteristic" (see the abstract). Furthermore, Pedersen discloses identifying a URI parameter (see 0112).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,833

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claims 2, 8, Pedersen discloses receiving the client provisioning document from a remote server over a wireless communication link (see 0001-0004).

Regarding claim 13, Pedersen discloses "a device management engine communicating with the provisioning and management framework, the device management engine being configured to update a device management tree based on the device management characteristic of the client provisioning document" (see 0073, 0114).

Claims 14, 16 are rejected because they merely describe a feature recited in the alternative of parent claims 1, 7, not selected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 10-12, 15, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pedersen et al (US 2004/0158619) of record, further in view of Cai et al (US 2005/0166140).

Regarding claims 15, 17, 4, 10, although Pedersen does not specifically show determining whether the device management characteristic includes only the URI parameter and pushing the URI parameter on a URI stack, it is customary to do so as shown by Cai (see 0089, 0091) in order to store new elements of a provisioning document. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2163

include the claimed features in order to store newly received parameters as taught by Cali.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 11, 12, although Pedersen does not specifically show setting the OP parameter to a REPLACE status and resetting the DATA value to a default value when the OP parameter and DATA parameter are not present in the device management characteristic, Cai clearly teaches the concept of setting a default value to a parameter (Cai, 0070). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include setting any value including default value to any parameter in Pedersen/Cai combination depending on user's applications.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,833 Page 6

Art Unit: 2163

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Uyen T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4021.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/UL/

Uyen Le

Primary Examiner

21 November 2007